



Joint Programming Initiative on
Cultural Heritage and Global Change: a new challenge for Europe - JPI CH

**Governing Board Meeting
Rome, November 20th, 2018**

Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities and Tourism
Via del Collegio Romano, 27

Room: Emeroteca

Draft Minutes

Participants:

Belgium, Royal Institute for Cultural Heritage, Hilde De Clercq; **Cyprus, the Research Promotion Foundation**, Georgia Pantelide; **Czech Republic, Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic**, Daniel Hanspach; **France, Ministry of Culture**, Pascal Liévaux; Alexandre Caussé; **Ministère de l'Enseignement supérieur, de la Recherche et de l'Innovation**, Sophie Fermigier; **Agence Nationale de la Recherche**, Charles Giry-Deloison; **Italy, Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities and Tourism**, Antonia Recchia, Cristina Sabbioni, Patrizia Bianconi, Alessandra Cuscianna; **Italy Ministry of Education, University and Research**, Aldo Covello, Valeria Cardia; **Lithuania, Research council of Lithuania**, Kornelija Janaviciute; **KTU Architektūros ir statybos institutas Architektūros istorijos ir paveldo**, Vaidas Petrulis; **The Netherlands, The Netherlands organizations for Scientific research - Humanities**, Jeanet Bruil; **Cultural Heritage Agency of the Netherlands**, Kees Somer, Eva Stegmeijer; **Norway, The Research Council of Norway**, Eli Ragna Taerum; **Ministry of Climate and Environment**, Tonte Hegard; **Poland, Ministry of Science and Higher Education**, Dariusz Drewniak; **Ministry of Culture and National Heritage**, Paulina Florjanowicz; **National Institute for Museums and Public Collections**, Aneta Budzałek; **Portugal, Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia**, Slovakia, **Univerzita Mateja Bela v Banskej Bystrici**, Ivan Murin; Luisa Igreja; **Spain, Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness**, Juan Climent Basco; **Sweden, Swedish National Heritage Board**, Jan Turtinen; **United Kingdom, The Arts and Humanities Research Council**, Katherine Warren; **European Commission**, Ms Giulia Facelli.

Agenda:

Opening of the meeting:

- Adoption of the provisional Agenda and communication
- Approval of the minutes of the JPI's Governing Board Meeting held on the 14 June 2018

Session 1:

- Fair of European Innovators on Cultural Heritage, Brussels, November 2018 – Follow up
- Horizon Europe
- 10 Years Joint Programming: Achievement and the Way Forward, Vienna 19-20 September, Follow up and Outcome

Session 2: JPI CH Governance:

- Renewal of the JPI CH Chair Coordinator: presentation of the candidate
- Recall of the Term of Reference rules for the voting session
- Voting Session for the JPI CH Chair election
- Discussion on the transition and on the practical arrangements of the JPI CH activities and tools (web site, secretariat, CSA JHEP2 etc)

Session 3: The JPI CH future strategy:

- Task Force Strategy proposals
- Communication, Internationalization and Impact Task Forces setting up

Future JPI CH Meetings**Concluding remarks**

Minutes:

On November 20th, the Governing Board Meeting for the Joint Programming Initiative on Cultural Heritage and Global Change: a new Challenge for Europe (JPICH) was held in Rome (Italy), at the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities and Tourism, Via del Collegio Romano, 27.

Opening of the meeting:

Antonia Recchia (Chair) welcomes all the participants. She reminds them that she will not put her name forth for the Chair of the JPI CH as she is now retired, briefly presenting and reviewing the common achievements of the last 10 years.

A round table presentation follows. The European Commission is represented by Giulia Facelli, who will now replace Emanuela De Menna. Slovakia announces that they will no longer be members of the JPI CH, yet will remain observers.

Italy announces that Kosovo is ready to become a member of the JPI CH. They submitted a letter of intent to the Italian coordinator. GB members were not consulted on this matter. The majority of members welcome this candidacy, but it is decided to postpone the final decision and discuss this candidacy during at the next GB meeting. It is also decided that ~~as well as agree on~~ clear rules regulating the access of new members to the JPI CH need to be discussed and implemented. Meanwhile, Kosovo will be invited to clarify its candidacy: why they want to join, foreseen ways of involvement, funding capacity, etc.

SESSION 1**Fair of European Innovators on Cultural Heritage, Brussels, November 2018 – Follow up:**

This ~~event~~ was the key EC event of the European Year of Cultural Heritage, presenting a selection of innovative solutions and innovators in Cultural Heritage R&I. Regarding the JPI CH's contribution to this fair, four Heritage Plus projects were represented, and a session on « Heritage at risk » was moderated by Cristina Sabbioni. The three other sessions were: Creative and sustainable solutions for urban regeneration; Shared management of cultural heritage; Advanced and future technologies for heritage and arts.

On the occasion of this event, a platform was launched: Community of innovators on Cultural Heritage¹, whose objective is to create, on a voluntary basis, a Community of innovators, practitioners, pioneers and social « changemakers », as well as to gather innovation providers, end-users, investors. Hilde Declercq remarks that the expression « end-users » is not always relevant: indeed, users should be involved from the

¹ www.innovatorsinculturalheritage.eu

beginning, all along the process, and not only at the end. In addition, Eva Stegmeijer expresses concern regarding possible overlaps with the Heritage Portal that the JPI CH is intending to expand as a knowledge hub. For the EC, this should not be a problem, as the platform can be embedded in other existing one in order to foster the creation of a « Federation » of European innovators: This means that other communities can join, or this platform can join other communities. All suggestions for making the platform more effective are also welcome.

In addition, a task force on « Circular business & financial models for cultural heritage adaptive reuse » was launched, and a report presenting the most innovative solutions developed through EU funded R&I projects was also published².

The event was finally the opportunity to launch a new partnership, the « Urban Agenda Partnerships » with a special focus on Cultural Heritage. This partnership is coordinated by one Member State and one city. The call for interest for MS and cities is closed, but in view of the interest expressed by JPI CH members to collaborate, it is decided to study the possibility to join this partnership.

Horizon Europe:

Regarding Horizon Europe preparation, there are no major developments to report and no changes since the last GB meeting in June 2018. The proposed structure of HEU has been confirmed, with a special place for Cultural Heritage in pillar 2, within the « Inclusive and Secure Society » cluster. The EC states that HEU will be an evolution of H2020, not a revolution, especially with the inclusion of the European Innovation Council in the third pillar, and a more mission-oriented research. It is now up to the European Parliament to decide the following steps.

Concerning the remaining calls under H2020, there is nothing new to report on. Two calls under SC5 are still planned, and both of them are relevant to CH related topics:

- Transforming historic urban areas and/or cultural landscapes into hubs of entrepreneurship and social and cultural integration (SC5-20-2019, opened in November 2018 and closing on February 19th 2019)
- Collaborative approaches to cultural heritage for social cohesion (DT-TRANSFORMATIONS-11-2019, opened in November 2018 and closing on March 14th 2019)

Answering a question on the Eranet Cofund « Conservation and protection », and its chances to be funded, the EC reaffirms that the critical mass and amount for a sufficient leveraging effect were never reached for this call, and chances to obtain the co-funding are practically nil.

10 Years Joint Programming: Achievement and the Way Forward, Vienna 19-20 September, Follow up and Outcome:

This High level event was organized in Vienna for the 10 years of the Joint programming process, within the framework of the Austrian presidency of the Council of the European Union. It was implemented following a decision of the 10 JPIs chairs, and the main outcome was a Joint Declaration of the JPIs chairs, with several commitments for the next 10 years³. The JPI CH was represented by Cristina Sabbioni, who participated in the panel discussions. The joint declaration will now be transmitted to the GPC level, for their next meeting in December 2018, and then go to the EC.

SESSION 2: JPI CH GOVERNANCE

Election of the JPI CH Chair: presentation of the candidate

The sole candidate to the JPI CH Chair is Pascal Liévaux from the French Ministry of Culture. Pascal Liévaux briefly presents his profession of faith for the next mandate, which had already been sent to all members the previous week. He reminded the GB of France's strong involvement in the JPI CH from the early days, and

² <https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/2a7477b0-e988-11e8-b690-01aa75ed71a1/language-en>

³ <http://www.jpi-culturalheritage.eu/2018/10/joint-jpis-declaration>

the several severe difficulties faced by the JPI CH and pointed out by the European Commission, proposing to base his programme on three main priorities:

- Renewing the governance.
- Improving the position of the JPI in the European and international landscapes of research on Cultural Heritage.
- Enhancing the national and international visibility of the JPI.

Recall of the Term of Reference rules for the voting session:

As a reminder, according to the ToR, « at least the representatives of 40% of the participating countries shall constitute the quorum necessary for the meeting to be valid »; « Each participating country shall have one vote in the Governing Board »; « decisions shall be taken by a simple majority of its members present and voting, on a proposal from the Chair. The absence of one or several members does not affect the result of the vote as long as the quorum is respected ».

Voting Session for the election of the JPI CH Chair:

The vote is a vote by show of hands, and Pascal Liévaux is unanimously elected new Chair of the JPI CH by the present members, forming a sufficient quorum.

Discussion on the transition and on the practical arrangements of the JPI CH activities and tools (web site, secretariat, CSA JHEP2, etc.):

The discussion on the very technical arrangements is postponed after the end of the meeting between Italy and the new coordinating team. It is reminded, in front of all members, that Italy will continue to coordinate the JHEP2 CSA, which will finish at the end of 2019. Meanwhile, France will take on the coordination as well as the secretariat of the JPI CH.

Pascal Liévaux is now chairing the Governing Board meeting

Small change in the agenda: Pascal Liévaux and Charles Giry-Deloison will present the JPI CH's foreseen roadmap for 2019-2021, and then come back to the different task forces setting up (Communication, Internationalization and Impact Task Forces). Alexandre Caussé will present at the end a short point on the Task force for monitoring and evaluation of the 10 JPIs.

SESSION 3: THE JPI CH FUTURE STRATEGY

Task Force Strategy proposals:

Cristina Sabbioni, who is leading the task force strategy, has nothing to add to her presentation given during the Executive Board meeting, the day before.

JPI CH roadmap 2019-2021:

Charles Giry-Deloison presents the 6 following priorities for the 2019-2021 foreseen roadmap:

1. Validation and implementation of a new scheme for the JPI-CH Governance (ToR)
2. Agree on a business plan and fees for 2019-2021
3. Launch the upgrade of the Strategic Research Agenda (SRA)
4. Agree on a Roadmap for new Calls for proposals 2019-2021
5. JPI CH internationalization
6. Organization of an European and international promotion event in Brussels

1. Validation and implementation of a new scheme for the JPI-CH Governance (ToR)

The state of art of the new governance model and the general flowchart (see Annex 1) are presented. These result from the work carried out by the ToR Task Force. The final revised ToR and governance model should circulate between April and May 2019 for comments, in order to agree. The vote on the new Governance structure and ToR will be held in June at the Governing Board meeting.

Discussion:

The choice of representative for the GB and Steering Committee is a decision belonging to each country, and they can choose the same person. The objective is also to avoid the duplication of conversations between the EB and GB meetings. Charles Giry-Deloison reminds that there is a question of trust between the two boards, and this needs an adequate level of representativity.

Some aspects still need further discussions: number of representatives in the Steering Committee, number of vice chairs and their role, number of pillars and task forces. Eva Stegmeijer suggests to circulate a clear list of these points as an annex to the final documents, formulating the main rationales going with the different changes. Going back to the point of the vice chair(s), Kees Somer warns that it may be too soon to organize elections in June. It is decided to postpone these elections to November. Concerning the pillars, it is decided to agree on two representatives for each of them. Jan Turtinen asks to clarify the number of pillars and their content. Everybody supports the idea to have as few pillars as possible.

2. Agree on a business plan and fees for 2019-2021

An updated business plan will be sent to all partners by the end of March 2019, and circulate until May for comments. The aim is to agree/vote on the updated Business Plan and corresponding fees at the June GB meeting, in order to proceed to the payment of the fees between July and October 2019. There will be no massive changes compared to the previous version, especially regarding the level of fees (between 5 000 and 7 000 €).

Discussion:

Italy will still be in charge of collection the fees for 2017-2018. Cristina Sabbioni confirms that a request for payment has been sent the day before to all members, and that the countries who requested it will receive an additional document detailing the whole business plan in the next few days.

3. Launch the upgrade of the Strategic Research Agenda (SRA)

The update of the SRA will take into account the work of the LTS task force, and the other aspects discussed during the EB meeting the day before: it will be revised, not rewritten; the new text will work on gaps, needs and strengths; it will link the existing priorities with the corresponding instruments for implementation; it will take into consideration the EU Framework Programme and make use of the national consultation panels (NCP) when they still exist, and the SRA introduction will introduce the European and international context. Katherine Warren offers to coordinate the work and prepare a roadmap for the update of the SRA before the GB meeting in June 2019. The aim is to have the SRA ready for the end of 2019.

Discussion:

Katherine Warren notes that the research themes and priorities in the current SRA are very broad, so it would be necessary to have more discussions on how we want to implement them. In addition, it will be necessary to think about any change in the different countries that should appear in the new SRA. For that, Katherine Warren invites partners to consult their national consultation panels, programme owners and funders, or national mirror groups, while being careful not to consult too many peoples, which would complicate the process. Eva Stegmeijer also suggest to consult the JPI CH Advisory Board.

4. Agree on a Roadmap for new Calls for proposals 2019-2021

As it was discussed during the EB meeting the day before, the roadmap for 2019-2021 consists in two calls. One in 2020, a call on « Conservation and protection ». One in 2021, on « Identity and perception ». During the EB meeting, representatives confirmed or not their participation to these calls, after a roundtable (see Fig. 1 below), confirming that the « Conservation and protection » call would be maintained, even without

EC co-funding. Then, it might be necessary to launch a consultation for a new call roadmap. This consultation should also consider the possibility of joint calls with other JPIs and networks (HERA), and study the new perspectives in HEU.

Discussion:

Aldo Covello reminds that for the « Conservation and protection » call, the process will start in 2019, for financing in 2020. The process for the « Identity and protection » call will start in 2020, for financing in 2021. Jan Turtinen reminds that these calls were initially part of the roadmap approved by the GB under JHEP2, so there is no need to agree on this roadmap again, but just to agree on potential additional calls. Nevertheless, it is urgent to ask countries that were not present during the EB meeting to confirm their participation to the two calls. In addition, Eli Ragna Taerum expresses the wish to re-discuss the exact content of these two calls. Spain agrees to lead the process for the « Conservation and protection » call, and Juan Climent will circulate a precise timetable very soon, before the next GB meeting. The NWO might lead the process for the « Identity and perception » call, but this will also require additional discussions. It would also be necessary to ask HERA whether or not they want to join in a common call. Sweden also needs to renegotiate whether or not they will participate to this call.

FIG 1: Summary of Executive Board Discussions: Partner's contribution to upcoming calls, compared to what was previously announced in JHEP2 roadmap

Country	Cons. & Protect.	Id. & Percept.
Belarus	Not present, to be confirmed	
Cyprus	Same amount confirmed	
Czech Republik	Not present, to be confirmed	
France (ANR)	Should be the same amount	Should be the same amount in 2021
Italy (MIUR)	Same amount confirmed	Is interested, around 500 k€
Lithuania		Same amount confirmed
Norway	Depending of the final call text, between 1 and 1,5 M€	Is interested in participating
Poland	Same amount confirmed, maybe more depending on the results	
Portugal	Same amount confirmed	
Romania	Not present, to be confirmed	
Spain	Same amount confirmed	
Sweden		Depending of the final call text, between 1,5 and 2 M€
The Netherlands (NWO)	Same amount confirmed	Same amount confirmed
UK	Same amount confirmed	Should participate with 600 k€

5. JPI CH internationalization

The priorities, according to the new Chair, are to enlarge cooperation to important EU countries not yet involved (Germany, Greece...), to strengthen and enlarge cooperation with countries beyond EU, to cooperate with international research funders (Belmont...) and to strengthen international cooperation with IGOs and NGOs.

Discussion:

Following a question from Juan Climent, regarding the state of the art of the internationalization task force, Patrizia Bianconi answers that the work has not started yet, and that Italy doesn't want to coordinate this task Force anymore. Juan Climent agrees to take the lead of the task force. Hilde De Clercq recalls that the project E-RIHS also has an internationalization plan, and that it would be interesting to link these two plans (e.g. E-RIHS platform in Brazil).

6. Organization of an European and international promotion event in Brussels

In 2020, the JPI CH will celebrate its 10th anniversary. For dissemination purposes, the coordination would like to organize an event in Brussels, inviting the European and international actors of research on Cultural Heritage, the EC, other JPIs, HERA, members of the European Parliament, NGOs and IGOs...

Discussion

People from the business sector should also be invited. The JPI CH Advisory Board could also be consulted during this event on how they see their collaboration and participation in the future years. Hilde De Clercq suggests to define a precise topic for this event. E-RIHS could also be invited. The overall objective is to demonstrate that the JPI CH does not intend to solve everything, but this should be done through intense collaborations with other initiatives. It is important to show how we can benefit from each other, it is about mutual benefits. Kees Somer suggests also to use this event for the SRA updating process. Juan Climent expresses his interest in the event, and that it would be important to invite representatives of Latin America, within the framework of the internationalization plan. Eva Stegmeijer proposes also to organize a kind of workshop during the event, and to use this opportunity to think of new proposals for the future. She also suggests to organize these events annually, and that it should be mandatory, for researchers participating to JPI CH Calls, to attend these events, by including it in their Grant Agreements. Jan Turtinen expresses some doubts regarding the necessity and added value of such an event, but agrees with the fact that the lack of networking and collaborations is an important JPI CH problem that this event might contribute to solve.

Communication, Internationalization and Impact Task Forces setting up:

A short discussion on the task forces implemented during the last GB meeting in June 2018 follows. The four task forces implemented were: Strategy (including alignment); Communication, visibility and impact (including the Heritage Portal); Internationalization; Joint calls.

Strategy (including alignment)

Results for this task force were already presented the day before, during the EB meeting. Italy expresses again its intention to withdraw from the coordination of this task force. Juan Climent agrees to continue to lead this task force, especially the part on alignment.

Communication, visibility and impact (including the Heritage Portal)

No first meeting has been organized yet for this task force. Alexandre Caussé, who is coordinating it, will organize a first meeting before the next GB meeting. Jan Turtinen agrees to join the task force, especially regarding Sweden's work in JHEP2, that can be very useful. Juan Climent, leading JHEP2 work on alignment, and Luisa Igreja, leading Portugal's work on monitoring and evaluation of the JPI CH within JHEP2, also express their will to join the group.

Internationalisation

Italy doesn't want to coordinate this task force anymore. Juan Climent agrees to temporarily take the lead of this task force.

Joint calls:

No meeting has been organized yet for this task force. The possibility to give the lead to another country (NWO, the Netherlands) is discussed.

Charles Giry-Deloison asks everyone what they think about the current organization of the task forces. It is decided to keep the same setting for the moment, gather ideas and discuss it further during the next EB meeting in Spring 2019.

Task force on monitoring and evaluation of the JPIs:

As it was presented during the JPI-CH EB meeting, Alexandre Caussé reminds that France is participating in a Task Force (TF) on Monitoring and Evaluation of the JPIs, which was established in 2017 by the Chairs of the 10 JPIs. This Task Force's aim was to define a framework of common dimensions and indicators relevant and applicable for all 10 JPIs. After two years of work, the Task Force agreed on a small set of three common dimensions and the corresponding impact indicators, that should be adopted by all JPIs and included in their monitoring and evaluation methodologies, after agreement by the respective Governing Boards.

The JPI CH GB is invited to discuss and vote on these three core dimensions and indicators as the mandatory for all JPIs to develop and implement in their respective monitoring and evaluation frameworks. In order to prepare this vote, a short note regarding the background of this work, as well as the Task Force final report, have been distributed to the GB. The three identified common dimensions are the following:

- Alignment of national and European and /or international research and innovation programmes and resources.
- International cooperation activities.
- Contribution to the area of the societal challenges.

Jan Turtinen asks what does it imply in terms of change to the current evaluation framework, in terms of concrete baseline information and data we have to monitor, and what are the concrete consequences in term of duties for the management board. Alexandre Caussé underlines that these dimensions are already more or less included in the actual JPI CH methodology, and will not induce a consequent change to the monitoring and evaluation framework.

Following a roundtable, it is agreed to adopt these three dimensions and corresponding indicators.

Next meeting:

The next meeting will be organized in Paris, on the 11th and 12th of June 2019.

Giulia Facelli will not be able to attend the next meeting. It is decided to meet her before the meeting, and report on her behalf to the JPI CH Governing Board.

Pascal Liévaux expresses his will, in the future, to distribute the hosting of JPI CH meetings between the different partners. There is still no host country for the meeting in November 2019.