



JHEP2

Coordination action in support of the implementation of
Joint Programming Initiative on
Cultural Heritage and Global Change: a new challenge for Europe.

Rome, November 19th, 2018

Ministry of Culture and Activities and Tourism

Via del Collegio Romano, 27

JHEP2 Steering Committee and JPI CH Executive Board Meeting
Emeroteca Room

Draft Minute

Participants:

France, Ministry of Culture and Communication, Pascal Lievaux; Alexandre Caussè; **Agence Nationale de la Recherche**, Charles Giry Deloison ; **Italy, Ministry for Cultural Heritage and Activities**, Cristina Sabbioni, Patrizia Bianconi, Alessandra Cuscianna; **Italy Ministry of Education, University and Research**, Aldo Covello, Valeria Cardia; **Lithuania, Kaunas University and Technology**, Vaidas Petrusis; **Research Council of Lithuania**, Kornelija Janaviciute; **Netherlands, the Netherlands organizations for Scientific research- Humanities**, Jeanet Bruil; **Cultural Heritage Agency**, Eva Stegmeijer, Kees Somer; **Norway, The Research Council of Norway**, Eli Ragna Taerum; **Ministry of Culture and National Heritage (MKDN)**, Paulina Florjanowicz; **National Institute for Museums and Public Collections (NIMOZ)**, Aneta Budzalek, Radoslaw Brudnicki; **Ministry of Climate and Environment**, Tonte Hegard; **Portugal Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia**, Luisa Igreja; **Spain, Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness**, Juan Climent Basco, **Fecyt**, Almudena Carrero, **Sweden, Swedish National Heritage Board**, Jan Turtinen, **United Kingdom, The Arts and Humanities Research Council**, Katherine Warren.

European Commission (via videoconference): Emanuela De Menna, Giulia Facelli

Agenda

TOP 1: Opening session

Welcome and update on the CSA JHEP2 in support to the JPICH

TOP 2: Communication by the EC

H2020 Calls 2018-2020 update

Fair of European Innovators on Cultural Heritage, Brussels, November 2018 – Follow up Horizon Europe

TOP 3: WP1 - Alignment of national research programs and activities with JPI cultural heritage

MINECO will present the WP1 and the progresses made with the ‘Alignment document’

Del. 1.3 Report on JPICH alignment workshop (February 2018)

TOP 4: WP2 - Implementation of other joint activities including joint calls

NWO will present the WP2 activities:

Del 2.2 Update of the Report on themes for joint calls and roadmap for future calls (December 2018)

Del. 2.5 Second evaluation report of activities of knowledge exchange on heritage practice (December 2018)

MIBACT, as handling partner, will present the Heritage in Changing Environments call: update and results

Follow up of the JPICH International Conference on “Participatory Governance of Built Heritage”, 4-5 October in Amersfoort

TOP 5: WP3 - Monitoring and evaluation (KPI)

MCC will present the WP3 the progresses achieved :

Del 3.3 – Second interim evaluation of JPICH alignment process (December 2018)

TOP 6: WP4 - Coordination and Management

MIBACT will present and discuss:

Second Technical and Financial Reporting Period - 1/7/2017-31/12/2018: rules and activities for Participants.

TOP 7: Task Force Strategy

Presentation of the outcome of the first Task Force Strategy meeting. Discussion and preparation of proposals to be submitted to the Governing Board on:

-Prioritization, methodology and road map for the revision and/or update of the JPI CH strategic documents.

-Update of the Business Plan for the period 2019 – 2021 (consistent with the new JPI CH Coordination

TOP 8: Meeting conclusion

Final comments

Minutes:

On November 19th, the Steering Committee and Executive Board Meeting for the Joint Programming Initiative on Cultural Heritage and Global Change: a new challenge for Europe (JPICH) was held in Rome (Italy), at the Ministry of Culture Activities and Tourism, Via del Collegio Romano, 27.

Opening and welcome address:

Cristina Sabbioni (JPICH Coordination Unit) welcomes all the participants.

A round table presentation follows.

TOP 2: Communication by the EC

Cristina Sabbioni introduces the presentation of Ms Emanuela De Menna (European Commission) about the Fair of European Innovators on Cultural Heritage, an important event organized by the Commission in Brussels last 15th and 16th of November 2018 in the context of the European Year of Cultural Heritage.

Ms De Menna (EC) summarizes the most important information and results of this event which involved about 400 participants and 37 projects called to show the last innovations in different fields of cultural heritage (socio-economic, digital, tangible and intangible, environment etc..).

She remarks that all the pillars of H2020 were represented and that also 4 projects funded by Heritage Plus call were present: Chime, Heritamus, Heat, Prothego.

The event was organised with the aim to shake the community of innovators in Cultural Heritage and to federate the different communities of the Cultural Heritage sector.

In occasion of the event a platform was launched: <http://www.innovatorsinculturalheritage.eu/launch>.

Ms De Menna (EC) explains that the platform is borned with the aim to reduce the gap between society, market and research and that for this reason it will be open not only to the funded projects but to all people interested in Cultural Heritage in order to give a stronger visibility to the results of the research and to promote a more efficient dissemination of these results.

All the projects invited to the exhibition in the demo area had the occasion to present their work and to discuss about the goals achieved but also about the problems to solve in the future.

Ms De Menna concludes the presentation reminding also the launch of a specific task force on '*Circular business & financial models for cultural heritage adaptive reuse*' to promote a deepest relationship between researchers and policy making considering that the circular economy is a priority for the European Union in this period.

A report of the conference will be circulated soon among the presents.

Horizon Europe

Ms De Menna (EC) informs the members about the lack of news regarding the next Eu Research and Innovation programme.

The specific objectives of the programme are:

1. Supporting the creation and diffusion of high-quality knowledge;
2. Strengthening the impact of R&I in supporting EU policies;
3. Fostering all forms of innovation and strengthen market deployment.

The structure of Horizon Europe has been confirmed. It is based on 3 pillars:

1. Open science;
2. Global Challenges and Industrial Competitiveness;
3. Open Innovation.

Cultural Heritage will be included in the pillar 2.

New details will be available after that all the proposals regarding Horizon Europe will have been evaluated by the Parliament and the Council.

H2020 calls 2018-2020 update

Ms De Menna (EC) reminds to the JPI CH members that at the moment only one call is open under Societal Challenges 5 (launch in November 2018, deadline in February 2019) about the transforming of historic city center and landscape into hub of entrepreneurship and social integration.

Further updates for 2020 WP will be sent.

Ely Ragna (RCN) asks if there are news about the Eranet co fund on Conservation and Protection.

Ms De Menna (EC) anticipates that a final decision about a possible co funding will be taken by the end of 2018 but that there will not be any possibility for JPI CH to be included if the minimum contribution from the partners will not be increased.

Top3: WP1 Alignment of national research programs and activities with JPI Cultural Heritage

Juan Climente Basco (MINECO) presents WP1 and the progress made with the “Alignment document”.

The WP1 is composed by 3 deliverables:

- D1.1 Alignment of national research programmes and activities with JPI Cultural Heritage (AEI)
- D1.2 Report on the best practices on alignment (RCN)
- D1.3 Report on JPI alignment workshop (+ JPI alignment strategy)

Juan Climente Basco (MINECO) summarizes the most important content of each deliverable focusing the attention on the alignment in JPI CH.

He underlines that more than 50 % of the members reported alignment with JPI CH but there are still obstacles to pass as for example:

- the insufficient political commitment in the member states;
- the insufficient network crossing disciplinary, sectoral and national borders;
- the JPI CH partners are not involved enough in development of national strategies

He also remarks the importance to reinforce the strategy of the alignment to implement excellent collaborative research and innovation actions at national, regional and European level in order to enhance common societal challenges.

Ms De Menna (EC) supports this vision strongly remarking the importance for the European Commission that all the activities related to the alignment made concrete results not remaining only good ideas on the paper.

Top4: WP2 - Implementation of other joint activities including joint calls

Jeanet Bruil (NWO) opens the discussion about the future calls: the co fund on “Conservation and Protection” and the call “Identity and Perception”.

MINECO (Spain) will be the handling partner of the co fund on Conservation and Protection which should be launched in 2019.

Almudena Carrero (FECYT) asks more details about the organization of the call to better establish the period of the launch and the roadmap. She informs the JPI CH members that MINECO will not be ready to manage

the call before of April 2019 and that in any case the initial timeline should be rediscussed from the partners also evaluating the opportunity to postpone the launch in 2020.
Some countries don't agree underlining their need to spend the budget for that call within 2019.
A round table to verify the confirms about the initial economic commitment follows.

FCT (Portugal): confirms its initial budget;
RPF (Cyprus): confirms its budget;
NWO: (The Netherlands): confirms too;
ANR: confirms the commitment but it will give a final confirm about the amount;
MINECO (Spain): confirms the budget;
RCN (Norway): confirms its commitment but probably it will invest a lower amount. Not more 1,5 M€ but about 1 million euro. It will confirm the final budget after to have read the call text.;
MKDN (Poland): confirms the budget underling the availability to raise it in case of more projects to fund;
MIUR (Italy): confirms the budget but it will give a final confirm about the amount to invest after to have read the call text.

The countries which initially confirmed their participation in the call but that are not present in the EB meeting as Romania, Belarus, Ireland, Czech Republic and Greece will be contacted from NWO via e-mail to confirm their position and previous commitment.
NWO proposes to help MINECO with the organization of the call on the base of its experience with previous calls.

The JPICH members discuss about the second call to launch in the future: Identity and Perception.
NWO will be the handling partner in this case.
A problem to solve regards the budget of the call which is too low. Some partners suggest to cooperate with HERA for the organization.
Juan Climente Basco (MINECO) stresses that for the alignment it could be very interesting to discuss with HERA.
Jan Turtinen (SNHB) confirms the participation of Sweden in the call but he anticipates that the budget to invest probably will be lower in comparison to the initial commitment. Sweden agrees to discuss with HERA.
Norway is interested in the participation but it will give a final confirm after to have read the call text.
UK notices that it is very difficult to organize a call if the contribution of the countries is too different. Some countries are investing more and some others are giving a too low contribution. She suggests to reflect about the opportunity to fix a minimum contribution of participation which every partner shall to respect.
She also specifies that the commitment of UK will be equal to 600.000,00 euros.
Italy confirm its participation in the call with a contribution of about half million euro which will be confirmed after to have read the call text.
Some partners suggest to postpone the launch of the call in 2021 for working more on it because at the moment the details and the information are too small to take a position.
Many countries can finance only one call for each year.
Jeanet Bruil (NWO) will contact the colleagues of HERA to know if they could be interested in cooperating.
If the reply will not be positive the call could be launched in 2021.

Follow-up on the JPICH International Conf. On “Participatory Governance of Built Heritage”, 4-5 Oct. in Amerfsfoort.

NWO reports about the outcomes of the International Conference ‘Citizens Involved: Participatory Governance of Built Cultural Heritage’ which was held last 3rd-4th of October 2018 in the Netherlands.
The event was focused on built heritage, including archeology and cultural landscapes. Trough plenary sessions, workshops, walking tour, poster presentations and book corners was possible to promote the international exchange of best practices, experiences and research also thanks to the contribution of 20 speakers from different European countries and more than 100 participants from 18 countries among professionals, policy makers, owners/managers, researchers, active citizens, planners/architects.

Task 2.3 Edited volume on Heritage Planning (RCE).

Eva Stegmeijer (RCE) updates her colleagues about the publication of a specific volume which will describe the Evolution of Heritage Planning regimes in EU 21st century.

It will provide also the showcasing of 10 Heritage Plus projects identifying (joint) priorities in research and policy.

Heritage and Climate: CLIMA, EUWATHER, PROTHEGO;

Heritage and Development: CHANGES, CHIME, GASTROCERT

Heritage and Identity: CHT2, HEURIGHT, PICH, REFIT

This book will be launched at the end of 2019 and it will be financed by RCE.

France anticipates the organization of an event in April 2019 in the framework of WP 2.3.

UK will organize a workshop on “Conservation and Planning “ on 26th of November 2019, also in the framework of WP 2.3. More details will follow.

TASK 2.4 Case studies (SNHB, AHRC).

Jan Turtinen (SNHB) presents the task 2.4 which has the aim to demonstrate the value of cultural and natural heritage to society and hereby to make heritage recognized and included in the development of more sustainable societies.

He reminds that an online survey and a questionnaire were sent the partners last 9th of April with the scope to identify heritage research which testified the strong connection to sustainability and to demonstrate how the implementations of research could have an impact on sustainability.

European Commission required a minimum number of 50 cases for the report but at the moment 25 cases are still missing. Jan Turtinen (SNHB) underlines that this goal is provided in the Grant Agreement and that it is very important to reach this objective for avoiding financial problems.

The Netherlands and UK sent good examples of case studies but this is not sufficient. Each country should provide urgently 2 or 3 cases to complete the report because the deadline fixed by the Commission is 31th of May 2019.

All the partners agree to send Sweden three projects in the next 2 weeks.

TASK 2.2 Activity 3 (RCL): International Summer School “Promoting the Progressive”.

Vaidas Petrusis (Lithuania) shortly summarizes the most important characteristics of the International Summer School “Promoting the Progressive Modernism and it’s Value as a Historic Urban Landscape” organized last June in Kaunas (Lithuania).

The aim of this activity was a training for heritage practitioners and students as a tool of knowledge sharing.

The selected participants came from 8 countries (Netherlands, Spain, Turkey, Latvia, Poland, Lithuania, Ukraine and Italy) among students of architecture, cultural heritage, social anthropology. Also young heritage professionals from different Lithuanian institutions participated.

This action will be open for heritage professionals and/or heritage field students and will be implemented together with Kaunas which will be the European Capital of Culture 2022.

Heritage in Changing Environments call: update and results

Patrizia Bianconi (JPI CH Coordination Unit) presents a summary of the Heritage in Changing Environment Call. She reminds that 11 countries participated in this call: Belarus, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Norway, Poland, The Netherlands and United Kingdom.

The available budget was equal to 4.6 million euro and the funding schema was the virtual common pot.

42 proposals were originally submitted but after the eligibility check only 39 were evaluated.

In a first phase the proposals were judged by remote evaluators for free while in a second phase the projects with a higher scores were evaluated by 8 international peer review experts who after a difficult selection obtained a ranking list of projects.

At the end of the selection 5 projects were funded: Wet Future, Care MSoc, Homee, Exinct and Consech 20.

Patrizia Bianconi (JPI CH Coordination Unit) shows to the JPICH members the costs sustained for the management of the call. The amount is equal to 20.000 euro which will be divided among the 11 participating countries. Each country shall pay to MIBAC (handling partner) a contribution of euro 1290,00.

All the partners will receive an e-mail with the instructions for the payment.

TOP5: WP3 - Monitoring and evaluation (KPI)

Alexandre Causse (France) presents the Workpackage 3 specifying that this workpackage has 2 tasks:

Task 3.1: Monitoring the alignment process of joint research programming.

Task leader: FCT (Portugal)

Task 3.2: Assessment of the alignment process

Task leader: BELSPO, Belgium

The principle aim of WP3 are:

- To monitor and assess the JPICH alignment implementation process;
- To demonstrate and evaluate JPICH impact by identifying applying and quantitative key performance indicators (KPIs).

WP3 has to revise the JHEP set indicators in line with the actions in order to develop them in JHEP2.

3 deliverables have already been published (3.1 (FCT), 3.2 (FCT), 3.3).

The deliverable 3.4 will be submitted from Portugal within the end of the year.

Alexandre Causse remarks that on 19th of January 2017, the JPI Chairs working group on impact indicators agreed to create a Task Force on Monitoring & Evaluation of the JPIs, progressively composed by representatives of all JPIs.

This Task Force was mandated and has been developing a set of proposed common dimensions (five) for the evaluation of the JPIs:

- 1) Alignment of national and European and/or international research innovation programmes and resources;
- 2) International cooperation or activities;
- 3) Enhanced knowledge production/sound base in JPI area;
- 4) Governance;
- 5) Contribution to the area of societal challenges.

With reference to the JPICH the indicators of del.3.1 were presented.

Task 3.1. Monitoring the alignment process (FCT).

Luisa Igreja (FCT) briefly presents a description of the task specifying its main objectives.

She underlines that 3 deliverables were submitted and that the next deliverable 3.4 is in the making.

She also urges the partners to complete two questionnaires which are necessary to regularly conclude the document.

One survey about the Monitoring is addressed to the JPICH EB members, while the second one IS addressed to JPICH GB and EB members.

Portugal sent the partners in the last months more reminds but many information are still missing.

The questionnaires will circulate again among the partners.

TOP6: WP4 – COORDINATION AND MANAGEMENT

Patrizia Bianconi (JPI CH Coordination Unit) summarizes the financial reporting of JHEP2.

All the partners received the interim payment after the approval of the Reporting Period 1 by the Commission.

She clarifies that some countries received less in comparison to the amount indicated in their Form C because it resulted higher than the foreseen. The total amount of pre -financing and interim payments must not exceed 90% of the maximum grant amount set out in Article 5.1.

The second periodic technical report (18 months of activities from 1st July 2017 to 30th of December 2018) shall be submitted from the partners to the Coordinator within 18th of January 2019.

The templates will be sent shortly after this meeting.

FORM C must be uploaded in the Participant Portal and must report the names of the venue and the meeting, the Workpackages interested, the number and the names of people who worked on the activities paid by the project.

She concludes her presentation informing the members that it is currently open a procedure of amendment to integrate the DoA respect:

The change of name of the romanian, french and english institutions;
The postponing of the deadline for the deliverables 4.5, 4.6. 4.7;
The description of the beneficiary number 9: Poland.

TOP7: TASK FORCE STRATEGY

Cristina Sabbioni (JPI CH Coordination Unit) summarizes the first meeting of the JPI CH Task Force Strategy (including Alignment) which was held via call conference last 29th of October 2018.
5 countries participated: Italy, France, Norway, United Kingdom and the Netherlands.

The Working Group examined the:

- Vision Document
- Strategic Research Agenda
- Action Plan
- Business Plan

After the discussion:

- the Vision document may not need a revision, however, considering that it has been approved nearly ten years ago, its adequacy to the present situation should be verified;
- The SRA needs to be revised with the highest priority. The WG agrees to put this issue on the agenda of the incoming Executive Board which should define the working methodology for revising it. Then, this methodology should be submitted to the Governing Board for its final approval. Considering their previous experience during the definition of the present SRA, the UK offered to coordinate the SRA revision. Catherine (UK) will make a plan on how to develop activities and methodology. She will circulate a first draft.
- The Action Plan needs also to be revised but, considering its strongly dependency on the SRA, the WG decided that it should be revised after the SRA;
- Also the Business Plan needs to be revised. Considering that it is not strictly linked to the SRA, it can be revised in parallel to the SRA.

Presently, we have a Business Plan for the years 2017-2019, whose last version, including in-kind personnel and Partners' comments, was circulated last of January 26th, together with the Memorandum of Understanding. However, considering the imminent change of coordination, the WG agreed that it should be limited only to the biennium 2017-18. Therefore, a new Business Plan needs to be defined for the period 2019-2021, using the 2017-2019 document already available as a basis for a revision. Also for this document, the WG agreed to put the definition of the new Business Plan on the agenda of the incoming Executive Board which should submit the new document to the Governing Board for its final approval.

The fees for the years 2017 and 2018, as defined in the present Business Plan, should be formally requested to the JPI CH members as soon as possible and, in no case, over the end of November 2018.

A discussion about the payment of the fees for the period 2017-2018 is open and a tour de table follows:

France cannot pay for the year 2017 but it can pay the fee for the year 2018. The letter received by the Coordinator is sufficient, no MoU is required. They will try to find a solution for the payment of the year 2017;

Portugal needs a more specific letter;

Norway can pay for 2017 and 2018 but it needs the MoU and the Business Plan;

Sweden: the document is ok, but they also need the MoU and the Business Plan;

Poland is unable to pay for the year 2017. They need to receive an invoice. More details will be given at the GB.

UK can pay for both the years :2017 and 2018. The letter is fine.

Cyprus doesn't need of extra documents.

Spain believes that both the years will be paid. It will check the letter to verify if this document is fine;
The Netherlands need to receive the letter and the Business Plan;
Lithuania will check the letter and reply by the end of the week.

MIBAC will revise the Business Plan and the MoU.

In reference to the Strategic Research Agenda all the partners decide to revise the existing document and to use the same structure (based on gaps, needs and strengths) within 2019, to use the National consultation panels, to consider the connection of the SRA to the framework program, to include instruments for defining the action plan.

The Scientific Committee will be involved in the revision of the document.

In reference to the Business Plan all the partners agree that the document has to be revised for the period 2019-2021 basing the work on the document used for the period 2017-2019.

The updated Business Plan will be discussed and finalised in occasion of the next Governing Board in June 2019.

Meeting ends around 4 pm.